

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,258	03/02/2004	Yun Luo	TRW(TE)6922	2787
<sup>26294</sup> 7590 03/27/2007 TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P. 1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700			EXAMINER	
			PARK, EDWARD	
CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2609	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/27/		03/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/791,258	LUO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edward Park	2609				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply	·					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<u> </u>	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims	m parto quajro, 1000 c.b. 11, 10					
_						
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2004 is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	a . <b>_</b> _					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/2/04</u> .	6) Other:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim calls for the element "at least one desired feature". However, corresponding specification doesn't define the term "desired feature" and those of ordinary skill in the art may reasonably disagree as to what is "desired". The scope of protection is unclear, and the claim is therefore indefinite. For purposes of examination, the following phrase will not be given any weight to make claim 20 definite: "at least one desired feature". Correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for

Application/Control Number: 10/791,258 Page 3

Art Unit: 2609

patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Wallace et al (US 2004/0240706 A1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 11, 12, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Owechko et al (US 6,801,662 B1) in view of Gokturk et al (US 2004/0153229 A1).

Regarding **claim 1**, Owechko teaches an apparatus for tracking at least one head candidate, said apparatus comprising:

an image analyzer for analyzing an image signal ("recognizing the type of occupant and his position by combining different types of information extracted from a video stream generated by an imaging sensor"; Owechko: col. 2, lines 44-47) to identify at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates within an area of interest and for providing data related to the identified at least one head candidate ("classifying the image features to produce object class confidence data; and performing data fusion on the object class confidence data to produce a detected object estimate; Owechko: col. 2, lines 61-65); and

a tracking system that stores location information for at least one tracked head candidate ("coordinated are updated by estimating the centroid rectangle equivalent to the thresholded motion image computed in the region"; Owechko: col. 8, lines 8-20).

Owechko does not teach a candidate matcher that predicts the current position of a given tracked head candidate, selects a subset of the at least one of the plurality of possible new head candidates according to their distance from the predicted position, and evaluates the similarity of each member of the selected subset to the tracked candidate to determine if a new head candidate within the selected subset represents a current position of the tracked head candidate.

Gokturk, in the same field of "intelligent deployment and use of airbags" (Gokturk: paragraph [0002]), teaches a candidate matcher that predicts the current position of a given tracked head candidate ("head-tracking algorithm is to determine the location of the head in the current frame given the location and the shape in the previous frame"; Gokturk: paragraph [0151], selects a subset of the at least one of the plurality of possible new head candidates according to their distance from the predicted position, and evaluates the similarity of each

member of the selected subset to the tracked candidate to determine if a new head candidate within the selected subset represents a current position of the tracked head candidate ("the head is re-detected periodically (every few seconds to make sure another occupant does not appear in the scene))"; Gokturk: paragraph [0154]).

Page 5

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko reference to utilize the candidate matcher of Gokturk, in order to correct "head tracking algorithms [that] lose track [of the head location] due to abrupt head movements, or abrupt environmental changes" (Gokturk: paragraph [0151]).

Regarding **claim 2**, Owechko discloses an image source that provides the image signal to the image analyzer ("means for capturing images of an area may comprise CMOS or CCD cameras or other devices known in the art that allow digital images of a viewed area to be captured"; Owechko: col. 3, lines 9-13).

Regarding **claim 3**, Owechko discloses wherein the image source includes a stereo camera ("stereo imaging system...deploying two vision sensors at a fixed distance apart"; Owechko: col. 7, lines 58-60).

Regarding **claim 4**, Owechko discloses wherein the candidate matcher updates the location information at the tracking system according to the determined matches ("coordinates are updated by estimating the centroid of the rectangle equivalent to the thresholded motion image computed in the region"; Owechko: col. 8, lines 14-20).

Regarding **claim 5**, Owechko discloses wherein a confidence value associated with the given tracked candidate is updated at the tracking system according to the evaluation of the

candidate matcher ("each classifier generates a class predication and confidence value";

Owechko: col. 4, lines 53-54).

Regarding claim 11 and 12, Owechko discloses all elements as applied to claim 1 above.

Owechko does not teach wherein the image analyzer includes means for performing a head candidate algorithm using the image signal to identify the at least one of the plurality of possible new head candidates in the area of interest and means for determining the position of the at least one of the plurality of possible new head candidates.

Gokturk teaches wherein the image analyzer includes means for performing a head candidate algorithm using the image signal to identify the at least one of the plurality of possible new head candidates in the area of interest ("various algorithms exist for the detection of heads"; Gokturk: paragraph [0149]) and means for determining the position of the at least one of the plurality of possible new head candidates ("head-tracking uses the location and shape information from a previous frame"; Gokturk: paragraph [0151]).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko reference to utilize head candidate algorithm of Gokturk, in order to effectively deploy or not deploy an airbag depending on an occupant's head which is "one of the more easy features of a person to track" (Gokturk: paragraph [0149]).

Regarding claim 17, Owechko discloses wherein said means for performing the head candidate algorithm includes means for determining at least one of a 3D spherical shape head candidate, a contour based head candidate, and a motion based head candidate from the image signal ("estimating the motion inside a rectangular region of interest"; Owechko: col. 8, lines 8-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/791,258 Page 7

Art Unit: 2609

Regarding **claim 18**, Owechko discloses an air bag and means for controlling the air bag in response to the current position of the at least one tracked head candidate ("enable/disable commands to airbag deployment systems"; Owechko: col. 3, lines 50-54).

Regarding claim 19, Owechko teaches an air bag restraining system for helping to protect an occupant of a vehicle upon the occurrence of a vehicle crash event, said apparatus comprising:

an air bag restraining device for, when actuated, helping to protect the vehicle occupant ("airbag deployment system should be triggered or not"; Owechko: col. 2, lines 30);

a stereo vision system for imaging an interior area of the vehicle and providing an image signal of the area of interest ("stereo image system"; Owechko: col. 7, line 38);

an image analyzer for analyzing the image signal ("recognizing the type of occupant position by combining different types of information extracted from a video generated by an imaging sensor"; Owechko: col. 2, lines 44-47) to identify at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates within an area of interest and for providing data related to the identified at least one head candidate ("classifying the image features to produce object class confidence data; and performing data fusion on the object class confidence data to produce a detected object estimate"; Owechko: col. 2, lines 61-65); and

a tracking system that stores location information for at least one tracked head candidate ("coordinates are updated by estimating the centroid of the rectangle equivalent to the thresholded motion image computed in the region"; Owechko: col. 8, lines 8-20).

Owechko does not teach:

crash sensor for sensing a vehicle crash event and, when a crash event occurs, providing a crash signal;

an air bag controller for monitoring the crash sensor and controlling actuation of the air bag restraining device;

a candidate matcher that predicts the current position of a given tracked head candidate, selects a subset of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates according to their distance from the predicted position, evaluates the similarity of each member of the selected subset to the tracked candidate to determine if a new head candidate within the selected subset represents a current position of the tracked head candidate, and provides a signal to the air bag controller indicating the current position of each of the at least one tracked head candidates;

the air bag controller controlling actuation of the air bag restraining device in response to both the crash signal and the current position of the at least one tracked head candidate.

Gokturk, in the same field of "intelligent deployment and use of airbags" (Gokturk: paragraph [0002]), teaches:

crash sensor for sensing a vehicle crash event and, when a crash event occurs, providing a crash signal ("determination is made as to weather an event has occurred in which the airbag is to be deployed .... crash sensor"; Gokturk: paragraph [0049]);

an air bag controller for monitoring the crash sensor and controlling actuation of the air bag restraining device ("control data for deploying the airbag is determined and outputted";

Gokturk: paragraph [0052]);

a candidate matcher that predicts the current position of a given tracked head candidate ("head-tracking algorithm is to determine the location of the head in the current frame given the location and the shape in the previous frame"; Gokturk: paragraph [0151], selects a subset of the

at least one of the plurality of possible new head candidates according to their distance from the predicted position, and evaluates the similarity of each member of the selected subset to the tracked candidate to determine if a new head candidate within the selected subset represents a current position of the tracked head candidate ("the head is re-detected periodically (every few seconds to make sure another occupant does not appear in the scene))"; Gokturk: paragraph [0154]), and provides a signal to the air bag controller indicating the current position of each of the at least one tracked head candidates ("provides the position of the tracking feature is tracked"; Gokturk: paragraph [0051]);

the air bag controller controlling actuation of the air bag restraining device in response to both the crash signal and the current position of the at least one tracked head candidate ("control data may be based at least in part on the position information determined in step 140"; Gokturk: paragraph [0052]).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko reference to utilize the crash sensor, air bag controller, candidate matcher, and an air bag controller of Gokturk, in order for automated "decision on when an airbag is deployed, as well as the power level in which the airbag is deployed" to ensure the "safety and effectiveness of an airbag deployment system" (Gokturk: paragraph [0034]).

8. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Owechko et al (US 6,801,662 B1) with Gokturk et al (US 2004/0153229 A1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gokturk03 et al (US 2003/0235341). (The Gokturk reference (US 2003/0235341) will be referred to as Gokturk03).

Regarding **claim 6**, Owechko and Gokturk combination discloses all elements as applied to claim 1 above.

The Owechko and Gokturk does not teach wherein the candidate matcher selects a predetermined number of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates that are closest to the predicted location.

Gokturk03 teaches wherein the candidate matcher selects a predetermined number of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates that are closest to the predicted location ("new search space [for head candidate] can be defined as a band around the ellipse of the pervious frame ... the width of this band can be between 10 to 100 pixels depending on the resolution of the image"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko and Gokturk combination to utilize a candidate matcher that selects a head candidate near the predicted location of Gokturk03, in order for faster, efficient tracking of the head candidate since "the search space is around the detected head, as opposed to the full image" (Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]).

Regarding claim 7, Owechko and Gokturk combination discloses all elements as applied to claim 1 above.

Owechko and Gokturk combination does not teach wherein the candidate matcher determines at least one threshold distance based on the projected location and selects all of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates falling within a selected one of the determined at least one threshold distance.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,258

Art Unit: 2609

Gokturk03 teaches wherein the candidate matcher determines at least one threshold distance based on the projected location and selects all of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates falling within a selected one of the determined at least one threshold distance ("new search space [for head candidate] can be defined as a band around the ellipse of the pervious frame ... the width of this band can be between 10 to 100 pixels depending on the resolution of the image"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko and Gokturk combination to utilize a candidate matcher that determines a threshold distance of Gokturk03, in order for faster, efficient tracking of the head candidate since "the search space is around the detected head, as opposed to the full image" (Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]).

Regarding **claim 8**, Owechko and Gokturk combination discloses all elements as applied to claim 1 above. Owechko and Gokturk combination also discloses wherein a confidence value associated with the given tracked candidate is updated ("each classifier generates a class predication and confidence value"; Owechko: col. 4, lines 53-54).

The Owechko and Gokturk combination does not teach where the candidate tracked is updated according to the position of the selected subset of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible head candidates relative to the at least one threshold distance and the evaluated similarity of identified at least one of a plurality of possible head candidates to the tracked candidate.

Gokturk03 teaches where the candidate tracked is updated according to the position of the selected subset of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible head candidates relative

to the at least one threshold distance and the evaluated similarity of identified at least one of a plurality of possible head candidates to the tracked candidate ("new search space [for head candidate] can be defined as a band around the ellipse of the pervious frame ... the width of this band can be between 10 to 100 pixels depending on the resolution of the image"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko and Gokturk combination to utilize a position of the selected subset to update the confidence value of Gokturk, in order for increased accuracy and efficiency in tracking the head candidate since "the search space is around the detected head, as opposed to the full image" (Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Owechko and Gokturk combination discloses all elements as applied to claim 1 above.

The Owechko and Gokturk combination does not teach wherein the candidate matcher matches a given tracked head candidate with one of the selected subset of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates according to respective similarity scores associated with the subset of new head candidates, a given similarity score reflecting a degree to which an associated new head candidate resembles the tracked head candidate across at least one feature and similarity score is calculated by a pattern recognition, classifier.

Gokturk03 teaches wherein the candidate matcher matches a given tracked head candidate with one of the selected subset of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates according to respective similarity scores associated with the subset of new head candidates, a given similarity score reflecting a degree to which an associated new head

candidate resembles the tracked head candidate across at least one feature ("once the edges are grouped according to a similarity measure, e.g., based on their depth or location, connected-component analysis is applied to each group of edges ... cluster with the best ellipse fit is declared as the head", "edges of the depth image"; Gokturk03: [0057]) and similarity score is calculated by a pattern recognition, classifier ("classifier function"; Gokturk03: [0059]).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko and Gokturk combination to utilize a candidate matcher that matches a given tracked head candidate by similarity scores of Gokturk03, in order for increased accuracy and efficiency in tracking the tracked head candidate in the midst of a non-head candidates with similar elliptical features.

9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Owechko et al (US 6,801,662 B1), Gokturk et al (US 2004/0153229 A1), Gokturk03 et al (US 2003/0235341) as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Guthrie (US 5,973,732).

Regarding **claim 13**, Owechko, Gokturk, and Gokturk03 combination discloses all elements as applied to claim 11 above.

The Owechko, Gokturk, and Gokturk03 combination does not teach wherein the means for performing the head candidate algorithm includes first determining means for determining a blob image from the image signal.

Guthrie teaches wherein the means for performing the head candidate algorithm includes first determining means for determining a blob image from the image signal (""blob analysis""; Guthrie: col. 3, lines 26-27).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko, Gokturk, and Gokturk03 combination to utilize a blob image of Guthrie, in order for "better detection is achieved" (Guthrie: col. 2, lines 65-66) which allows for more efficient and accurate head tracking means.

10. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Owechko et al (US 6,801,662 B1), Gokturk et al (US 2004/0153229 A1), Gokturk03 et al (US 2003/0235341), Guthrie (US 5,973,732) as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Takagi et al (US 7,134,688 B2).

Regarding claims 14-16, Owechko, Gokturk, Gokturk03, Guthrie combination discloses all elements as applied to claim 13 above.

The Owechko, Gokturk, Gokturk03, Guthrie combination does not teach:

wherein said means for performing the head candidate algorithm further includes second determining means for determining a contour of the blob image and establishing a contour image in response thereto;

wherein said means for performing the head candidate algorithm further includes third determining means for determining turning point locations of the contour image; and

wherein said means for performing the head candidate algorithm further includes means for performing an ellipse fitting algorithm for determining the quality of ellipse fits of the contour image between determined turning point locations.

Takagi teaches:

wherein said means for performing the head candidate algorithm further includes second determining means for determining a contour of the blob image and establishing a contour image

Application/Control Number: 10/791,258

Art Unit: 2609

in response thereto ("passenger information is determined by comparing the closed outlines extracted from the picked-up image", "passenger's head is extracted on the basis of the outlines of the passenger's head"; Takagi: col. 1, lines 45-51);

wherein said means for performing the head candidate algorithm further includes third determining means for determining turning point locations of the contour image ("elliptic boundaries are extracted among the boundaries ... the elliptic boundaries are determined by curvature changes along a boundary, or by a change in distances between a center of the points on the boundary"; Takagi: col. 3, lines 34-44); and

wherein said means for performing the head candidate algorithm further includes means for performing an ellipse fitting algorithm for determining the quality of ellipse fits of the contour image between determined turning point locations ("curvature of the boundary can be detected by coordinates of three points distant by a prescribed distance with each other", "ellipse shape of the detected head ellipse is such that the shape may belong to a shape range of reference ellipses stored beforehand"; Takagi: col. 3, lines 44-64).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko, Gokturk, and Gokturk03, Guthrie combination to determine a contour of the blob image, a turning point, and quality of ellipse of Takagi, in order to "[reduce] image processing time period and improving an accuracy of the passenger determination" by allowing the "load for image processing for extracting the head ellipse [to be] low" (Takagi: col. 1, lines 56-59), therefore allows head candidates to be "easily detected" (Takagi: col. 3, lines 37-38).

Application/Control Number: 10/791,258 Page 16

Art Unit: 2609

Claims 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Owechko et al (US 6,801,662 B1) with Gokturk et al (US 2004/0153229 A1), and further in view of Gokturk03 et al (US 2003/0235341). (The Gokturk reference (US 2003/0235341) will be referred to as Gokturk03).

Regarding claim 20, Owechko teaches a head candidate matching method for determining a current location of a previous head candidate, the method comprising the steps of:

imaging a class object and providing an image signal of an area of interest ("means for capturing images of an area occupied by at least one object"; Owechko: col. 3, lines 4-5);

Owechko does not teach:

identifying at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates and associated location data from the image signal;

predicting the current location of the previous head candidate according to its previous location and motion;

selecting a subset of the identified at least one of the plurality of possible new head candidates based on the distance of each of the identified at least one of the plurality of possible new head candidates from the predicted location; and

comparing each of the selected subset of new head candidates to the previous head candidate across at least one feature.

Gokturk teaches identifying at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates and associated location data from the image signal; predicting the current location of the previous head candidate according to its previous location and motion ("head-tracking algorithm is to determine the location of the head in the current frame given the location and the shape in

the previous frame", "the head is re-detected periodically (every few seconds to make sure another occupant does not appear in the scene)"; Gokturk: paragraph [0151], [0154]).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko reference to identify a new head candidate and predict the current location of Gokturk, in order to correct "head tracking algorithms [that] lose track [of the head location] due to abrupt head movements, or abrupt environmental changes" (Gokturk: paragraph [0151]) which leads to an increase in accuracy and safety for the occupant in an event of an accident.

Gokturk03 teaches selecting a subset of the identified at least one of the plurality of possible new head candidates based on the distance of each of the identified at least one of the plurality of possible new head candidates from the predicted location ("new search space [for head candidate] can be defined as a band around the ellipse of the previous frame ... the width of this band can be between 10 to 100 pixels depending on the resolution of the image... the edges"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]) and comparing each of the selected subset of new head candidates to the previous head candidate across at least one feature ("checking if the ellipse is a good fit with a small residue, and also comparing its size to the head size determined in detection"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko with Gokturk combination as stated above, to select a subset of new head candidates based on distance and compare to a previous frame of Gokturk03, in order for increased accuracy and efficiency in tracking the head candidate since "the search space is around the detected head, as opposed to the full image" (Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]).

Regarding claim 21, Owechko teaches wherein the step of imaging a class object includes using a stereo camera ("stereo imaging system…deploying two vision sensors at a fixed distance apart"; Owechko: col. 7, lines 58-60).

Regarding claims 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, the Owechko, Gokturk, and Gokturk03 combination discloses all elements as applied to claim 20.

The Owechko, Gokturk, and Gokturk03 combination as applied to claim 20 does not teach:

wherein selecting a subset of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates includes selecting a predetermined number of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates that are closest to the predicted location;

wherein selecting a subset of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates includes establishing a threshold distance around the predicted location and selecting every new head candidate within the threshold distances;

wherein selecting a subset of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates includes establishing a plurality of threshold distances around the predicted location and selecting every new head candidate within a selected one of the plurality of threshold distances;

selecting the smallest threshold distance encompassing at least one new head candidate; updating a tracking confidence associated with the previous head candidate according to the selected threshold distance;

plurality of threshold distances comprising an inner threshold distance and an outer threshold distance and the method further comprising comparing a confidence value associated

with a selected new head candidate to a threshold value only if the selected new head candidate falls between the inner threshold distance and the outer threshold distance;

wherein comparing the selected subset of new head candidates to the previous head candidate includes computing a similarity score for each selected new head candidate based upon its similarity to the previous head candidate and identifying the new head candidate with the-best similarity score as the current location of the previous head candidate;

wherein computing the similarity score for a given new head candidate includes providing feature data associated with the new head candidate and feature data associated with the previous head candidate to a pattern recognition classifier.

Gokturk further teaches:

updating a tracking confidence associated with the previous head candidate according to the selected threshold distance ("system confidence is built up in the physical-even 1430 reasoning layer by accumulating the decisions from several frames"; Gokturk: paragraph [0154]);

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko, Gokturk, Gokturk03 combination as applied to claim 20 to update a tracking confidence of Gokturk, in order for increased accuracy of position detection of the occupant, which translates to increase in safety for the driver/passenger in regards to airbag deployment.

Gokturk03 further teaches:

wherein selecting a subset of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates includes selecting a predetermined number of the identified at least one of a plurality

of possible new head candidates that are closest to the predicted location ("new search space [for head candidate] can be defined as a band around the ellipse of the previous frame ... the width of this band can be between 10 to 100 pixels depending on the resolution of the image... the edges"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]);

wherein selecting a subset of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates includes establishing a threshold distance around the predicted location and selecting every new head candidate within the threshold distances ("new search space [for head candidate] can be defined as a band around the ellipse of the previous frame ... the width of this band can be between 10 to 100 pixels depending on the resolution of the image... the edges"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]);

wherein selecting a subset of the identified at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates includes establishing a plurality of threshold distances around the predicted location and selecting every new head candidate within a selected one of the plurality of threshold distances ("new search space [for head candidate] can be defined as a band around the ellipse of the previous frame ... the width of this band can be between 10 to 100 pixels depending on the resolution of the image... the edges"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]);

selecting the smallest threshold distance encompassing at least one new head candidate ("new search space [for head candidate] can be defined as a band around the ellipse of the previous frame ... the width of this band can be between 10 to 100 pixels depending on the resolution of the image... the edges"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]);

plurality of threshold distances comprising an inner threshold distance and an outer threshold distance and the method further comprising comparing a confidence value associated with a selected new head candidate to a threshold value only if the selected new head candidate falls between the inner threshold distance and the outer threshold distance ("new search space [for head candidate] can be defined as a band around the ellipse of the previous frame ... the width of this band can be between 10 to 100 pixels depending on the resolution of the image... the edges"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]);

Page 21

wherein comparing the selected subset of new head candidates to the previous head candidate includes computing a similarity score for each selected new head candidate based . upon its similarity to the previous head candidate and identifying the new head candidate with the-best similarity score as the current location of the previous head candidate ("Once the edges are grouped according to a similarity measure, e.g, based on their depth or location, connectedcomponent analysis is applied to each group of edges... cluster with the best ellipse fit is declared as the head"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0057]);

wherein computing the similarity score for a given new head candidate includes providing feature data associated with the new head candidate and feature data associated with the previous head candidate to a pattern recognition classifier ("edge values with similar depth values are grouped together", "evaluated until the algorithm finds the best-fit head"; Gokturk: paragraph [0057]).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko, Gokturk, Gokturk03 combination as applied above to select a subset with a certain threshold distance, to elect the best similarity score, and utilize a pattern recognition classifier of Gokturk03, in order for faster, efficient tracking of the head candidate since "the search space is around the detected head, as opposed to the full image" (Gokturk03:

paragraph [0060]) with increased accuracy, detection, and tracking of the occupant's head in the midst of non-head candidates with elliptical features.

Regarding claim 30, Owechko teaches a method for tracking a previously identified head candidate, comprising an imaging a class object and providing an image signal of an area of interest ("means for capturing images of an area occupied by at least one object"; Owechko: col. 3, lines 4-5).

Owechko does not teach:

identifying at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates and associated location data from the image signal;

predicting the current location of the previous head candidate according to its previous location and motion;

defining at least one threshold distance around the predicted location; and updating a tracking confidence value associated with the previously identified head candidate according to respective positions of the identified at least one of the plurality of new head candidates relative to the at least one defined threshold distance.

#### Gokturk teaches:

identifying at least one of a plurality of possible new head candidates and associated location data from the image signal; predicting the current location of the previous head candidate according to its previous location and motion ("head-tracking algorithm is to determine the location of the head in the current frame given the location and the shape in the previous frame", "the head is re-detected periodically (every few seconds to make sure another occupant does not appear in the scene)"; Gokturk: paragraphs [0151], [0154]); and

updating a tracking confidence value associated with the previously identified head candidate according to respective positions of the identified at least one of the plurality of new head candidates relative to the at least one defined threshold distance ("system confidence is built up in the physical-even 1430 reasoning layer by accumulating the decisions from several frames"; Gokturk: paragraph [0154]).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko reference to identify a new head candidate, predict the current position, and update the tracking confidence value as suggested by Gokturk, in order to correct "head tracking algorithms [that] lose track [of the head location] due to abrupt head movements, or abrupt environmental changes" (Gokturk: paragraph [0151]) which leads to an increase in accuracy and safety for the occupant in an event of an accident.

Gokturk03 teaches defining at least one threshold distance around the predicted location ("new search space [for head candidate] can be defined as a band around the ellipse of the previous frame ... the width of this band can be between 10 to 100 pixels depending on the resolution of the image... the edges"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko with Gokturk combination as applied above to define the threshold distance as suggested by Gokturk03, in order to for faster, efficient tracking of the head candidate since "the search space is around the detected head, as opposed to the full image" (Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]) with increased accuracy, detection, and tracking of the occupant's head in the midst of non-head candidates with elliptical features.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,258

Art Unit: 2609

Regarding claims 32 and 33, the Owechko, Gokturk, and Gokturk03 combination teaches all elements as applied to claim 30.

The Owechko, Gokturk, and Gokturk03 combination does not teach wherein updating the tracking confidence value includes the steps of:

selecting a defined threshold distance and a new head candidate within the selected defined threshold distance;

adding a value reflecting the similarity of the new head candidate to a human head to the tracking confidence value; and

wherein selecting a defined threshold distance includes selecting the smallest threshold distance encompassing at least one new head candidate.

Gokturk03 further teaches:

selecting a defined threshold distance and a new head candidate within the selected defined threshold distance ("new search space [for head candidate] can be defined as a band around the ellipse of the previous frame ... the width of this band can be between 10 to 100 pixels depending on the resolution of the image... the edges"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]);

adding a value reflecting the similarity of the new head candidate to a human head to the tracking confidence value ("according to a similarity measure"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0057]); and

wherein selecting a defined threshold distance includes selecting the smallest threshold distance encompassing at least one new head candidate ("new search space [for head candidate] can be defined as a band around the ellipse of the previous frame ... the width of this band can

be between 10 to 100 pixels depending on the resolution of the image... the edges"; Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]).

Page 25

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko, Gokturk, Gokturk03 combination as applied in claim 30 to select a threshold distance, select a new head candidate, and add a confidence value as suggested by Gokturk03, in order to for faster, efficient tracking of the head candidate since "the search space is around the detected head, as opposed to the full image" (Gokturk03: paragraph [0060]) with increased accuracy, detection, and tracking of the occupant's head in the midst of non-head candidates with elliptical features.

12. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Owechko et al (US 6,801,662 B1), Gokturk et al (US 2004/0153229 A1), Gokturk03 et al (US 2003/0235341), and further in view of Guthrie (US 5,973,732). (The Gokturk reference (US 2003/0235341) will be referred to as Gokturk03).

Regarding claim 31, the Owechko, Gokturk, Gokturk03 combination discloses all elements as applied to claim 30 above.

Owechko, Gokturk, Gokturk03 combination does not teach wherein updating the tracking confidence value includes decreasing the tracking confidence value when no identified new head candidate is encompassed by a selected one of the defined at least one threshold distance.

Guthrie teaches wherein updating the tracking confidence value includes decreasing the tracking confidence value when no identified new head candidate is encompassed by a selected one of the defined at least one threshold distance ("when an object can no longer be tracked, the

system allows the persistence value to decrease to zero and then evaluates the progress of that object"; Guthrie: col. 7, lines 31-39).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Owechko, Gokturk, Gokturk03 combination as applied in claim 30 to decrease the tracking confidence value as suggested by Guthrie, in order to "track [the] object for as long as possible" (Guthrie: col. 7, lines 31-39) without compromising the accuracy of the head tracking system and which ensures that the tracked object is an actual head candidate.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Park whose telephone number is (571) 270-1576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 09:00-17:00, (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Werner can be reached on (571) 272-7401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,258

Art Unit: 2609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Edward Park Examiner Art Unit 2609 Page 27

BRIAN WERNER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

3/17/07